After Eden:

Reflexive Moral Awakening, Opportunity-Cost Suffering, and Consequential Agency in Genesis 2-3

A Revised Research Manuscript for Anthropology, Myth, and Religion Studies

J. F.

June 17, 2026

Manuscript status. This is a publication-facing research draft, revised to incorporate the problem of opportunity-cost suffering: the idea that every responsible action excludes other possible goods, and that finite time, limited resources, and non-omniscience make moral life tragic even where intention is good. Journal-specific style, peer review, permissions checks, and discipline-specific citation formatting would still be required before formal submission.

Abstract

This article develops a synthetic interpretation of Genesis 2-3 in which the Eden narrative functions as a myth of reflexive moral awakening. The argument does not reduce the story to "original sin," nor does it treat Eden merely as a premodern explanation for labor, sexuality, shame, or death. Instead, it proposes that the eating from the tree of the knowledge of good and evil dramatizes a threshold in which the human becomes aware of itself as a finite, exposed, future-oriented, and consequential agent. This revised version extends the concept of consequential agency by adding a second dimension: opportunity-cost suffering. The post-Edenic human discovers not only that chosen actions may have unintended consequences, but that choosing one good necessarily excludes other possible goods. A parent may care for one child and thereby fail to attend to another; a mission intended as service may carry epidemiological risk; a society may allocate scarce resources justly and still leave real needs unmet. The Fall is thus read as an awakening into the tragic structure of finite agency: action is necessary, knowledge is incomplete, time is irreversible, and no finite creature can do all possible goods. The article positions this thesis in relation to Eden as etiology, maturation myth, psychoanalytic separation, Kantian reason, Ricoeurian fault, moral disillusion, moral luck, moral remainder, tragic choice, sins of omission, and contemporary work on cluelessness under consequential uncertainty. The surveyed literature strongly anticipates individual components of the thesis, and a recent public essay explicitly links original sin to opportunity cost. Yet the full synthesis - Eden as an anthropology of omitted goods, unintended consequence, non-omniscience, and moral entanglement - remains underdeveloped as a named interpretive frame in anthropology of religion and myth studies.

Keywords: Genesis 2-3; Garden of Eden; myth; anthropology of religion; moral agency; opportunity cost; moral luck; moral remainder; tragic choice; moral disillusion; cluelessness; sins of omission; consequential agency; finite agency; ecological ethics.

1. Introduction: The Claim and the Missing Dimension

The Garden of Eden story has repeatedly been interpreted as a narrative of sin, disobedience, sexual awakening, mortality, labor, human freedom, or loss of innocence. The claim advanced here belongs to this history of interpretation but changes the center of gravity. It asks whether Genesis 2-3 can be read as a mythic account of a human awakening into reflexive moral consciousness: an awakening in which the human recognizes itself as a being who knows, judges, anticipates, plans, controls, omits, regrets, and suffers because its actions have effects beyond its intentions.

In this reading, the tree of the knowledge of good and evil is not only a symbol of prohibited moral knowledge. It marks the birth of what this article calls *consequential agency*: the condition of being an actor whose life inevitably produces consequences in a world of other actors, bodies, environments, and vulnerable beings. Consequential agency is not identical with guilt. It names the burden of acting under conditions in which the agent's effects exceed the agent's foresight.

The original version of this argument emphasized unintended harm: the painful realization that even ordinary human living can cause suffering. This revised version adds a second and equally important dimension. The post-Edenic human suffers not only because chosen actions can cause harm, but because every chosen action excludes other possible actions. To choose one path is to leave other paths unwalked. To give time, attention, money, care, labor, or bodily presence to one good is to make oneself unavailable for another good. The moral burden is therefore not limited to bad choices. It is built into finite choice as such.

This can be expressed through the image of white space. An action is carved from the white space of possibility. Once carved, it becomes real, located, embodied, and temporally costly. The unchosen space around it does not remain morally empty. It contains possible acts of care that did not happen, dangers that were not prevented, books not read to children, sick people not visited, communities not protected, and harms not foreseen. The anguish is not merely, "I did wrong." It is also, "I did one good, and therefore did not do another. I acted without omniscience. I lived within a life too small for all goods."

This is the most distinctive portion of the present thesis. Many interpreters have already argued that Eden is about moral maturation, freedom, shame, adulthood, alienation, or death. Some moral philosophers have analyzed moral luck, agent-regret, moral residue, tragic dilemmas, and decision-making under uncertainty. Economists and recent theological-economic writers have connected scarcity, time, and opportunity cost. Yet the full synthesis - Eden as mythic awakening into finite, omissive, non-omniscient, opportunity-cost agency - is not yet a standard named interpretation in anthropology of religion, myth studies, or biblical reception.

The interpretation proposed here is therefore synthetic. It is not offered as the only meaning of Genesis 2-3, nor as a claim about a single recoverable authorial intention. Its value lies in gathering existing strands in anthropology, myth theory, moral philosophy, psychology, economics, theology, and biblical interpretation into an integrated model of Eden as mythic anthropology. The narrative becomes a compressed account of what it means to become human: to become self-aware, morally answerable, future-oriented, socially exposed, temporally constrained, and unable to return to innocent embeddedness.

2. Research Positioning: Similar Works and the Existing Field

2.1. Eden as etiology for the human condition

A significant strand of recent biblical interpretation already resists the idea that Genesis 2-3 is simply a story of "the Fall" in a later Christian doctrinal sense. Robert S. Kawashima argues that the expulsion from Eden is not primarily a story of human error or sin, but the inevitable result of the human desire for knowledge. He treats the narrative as an etiology of the human condition and reads Adam and Eve as representative figures rather than merely isolated first individuals (Kawashima 2022). In this account, the story explains why humans live as they do: laboring, suffering, knowing, and acting under limits.

This reading is an important precedent for the present thesis. It supports the claim that Eden is anthropological: it explains a condition rather than only narrating an offense. The difference is that Kawashima does not make the main issue the painful second-order recognition that ordinary agency creates unintended harm and omitted goods. The present article accepts the etiological frame while extending it toward the moral phenomenology of entanglement.

2.2. Eden as maturation, separation, and psychological development

Lyn Bechtel's article "Genesis 2.4b-3.24: A Myth about Human Maturation" is one of the clearest scholarly antecedents for reading Eden as developmental myth rather than merely fall narrative (Bechtel 1995). Psychoanalytic readings make similar moves through different vocabulary. Marvin Osman reads the Adam and Eve story as an exemplar of early-life dynamics involving separation, individuation, parental prohibition, crisis, and exile (Osman 2000). Sanford Drob's account of an "Eden Complex" argues that the transgression of Adam and Eve provides a broad paradigm for individual development, parent-child conflict,

values, psychotherapy, and societal change (Drob 2024).

These works strongly anticipate the claim that Eden is about the emergence of selfhood. They also help clarify why ”ego death” is not quite the right technical description for the Eden moment. In developmental and psychoanalytic terms, Genesis 2-3 more readily appears as the birth or crisis of the ego than as its death. The human becomes self-conscious, separate, ashamed, desirous, defensive, and capable of judgment. What may later be called ego death would be a subsequent de-centering of that separate self, not the original Edenic awakening itself. A more precise formulation is that Eden narrates the emergence of the reflexive ego whose burden may eventually make ego-decentering spiritually necessary.

2.3. Kant, reason, future, and the anxiety of freedom

Kant's *Conjectural Beginning of Human History* is a major philosophical precedent. Kant reads Genesis as a speculative narrative of the emergence of reason out of instinct. In this reconstruction, the human ceases simply to follow nature and discovers choice, freedom, and the ability to anticipate future conditions (Kant 2007 [1786]). Reason is both liberation and burden. It allows planning, but planning introduces worry. It expands possibility, but possibility becomes a form of anxiety. The human can now make distant ends present to consciousness.

This is close to the insight concerning future-control. The post-Edenic human is no longer simply immersed in immediate life. It anticipates, strategizes, remembers, judges, and fears. It is no accident that the consequences of the tree include labor, pain, and mortality. These are not only punishments; they are the existential structures of future-oriented life. To know is to live under time. To live under time is to experience vulnerability. To experience vulnerability is to seek control. The Eden narrative places this burden at the threshold of humanity.

2.4. Ricoeur, the Adamic myth, and the symbolics of fault

Paul Ricoeur's work on the symbolism of evil matters because it treats the Adamic myth as more than literal origin history. Ricoeur reads myths of evil as symbolic forms through which human beings articulate fault, defilement, sin, and responsibility. In biblical perspective, the Adamic myth turns Adam into a representative human figure: Adam is not merely one man but a symbolic figure for every human being's relation to fault (Gaiser 1999; Ricoeur 1967).

The present article accepts Ricoeur's insight that Eden speaks in mythic-symbolic grammar. Yet it shifts the focus from fault alone to morally burdened consciousness. The Adamic condition is not only that humans do evil. It is that humans awaken to themselves as possible agents, sufferers, omitters, and sources of evil. The story's affective sequence - seeing, covering, hiding, fearing, blaming, laboring, and dying - suggests not only objective fault but the inner experience of becoming accountable.

2.5. Moral disillusion and unchosen evil

The closest philosophical analogue to the first version of this thesis is Zachary J. Goldberg's dissertation *Moral Disillusion: Shattering Moral Illusions for the Sake of Taking Responsibility* (Goldberg 2012). Goldberg explicitly uses Adam and Eve in a chapter on knowing and understanding. He argues that moral disillusion involves losing moral innocence, recognizing oneself as an agent of wrongdoing, and eventually understanding that one may be an agent of unchosen evil or inescapable wrongdoing. His account is

especially close because it distinguishes moral goodness from moral disillusion. To awaken morally is not simply to become good. It is to lose illusions of purity and to recognize one's possible role in harm.

Goldberg's work substantially reflects the strongest moral-philosophical element of the claim. The difference is disciplinary and interpretive. Goldberg uses Adam and Eve as an example within a philosophical account of moral responsibility. The present article treats Eden itself as a mythic anthropology of consciousness, embodiment, shame, futurity, omission, and ecological-social entanglement. Goldberg supplies a powerful conceptual ally, but he does not exhaust the anthropological reading of Genesis 2-3 as a myth of ordinary consequential existence.

2.6. Original sin and opportunity cost: a newly discovered close precedent

The most direct recently discovered precedent for the added dimension is Tarnell Brown's June 2026 public essay "Original Sin and Opportunity Cost" (Brown 2026). Brown explicitly argues that the post-Fall world is a world of constraint, finite resources, finite knowledge, finite time, irreversible choice, and opportunity cost. His essay states that to choose one path is to forgo another, that every action carries a shadow set of unrealized alternatives, and that Genesis 3 can be read as a story of temporal constraint rather than death alone.

Brown's essay is important because it shows that the Eden-opportunity-cost connection is not wholly absent from recent public thought. It is, however, not a peer-reviewed article in anthropology, myth, or religion studies, and its center of gravity is economic and institutional. Brown's argument asks how the Fall maps onto scarcity, time, and irreversibility. The present article asks a different question: how Eden narrates the moral suffering of finite agents who cannot do all goods, cannot know all consequences, and must live with both acts and omissions. Brown therefore becomes a close adjacent source, not a replacement for the present thesis.

2.7. Moral luck, agent-regret, and the pain of non-omniscient agency

The mission-trip example - a good action that unintentionally introduces catastrophic disease - belongs to the terrain of moral luck. Thomas Nagel and Bernard Williams made moral luck central to contemporary ethics by showing that agents are often morally assessed in light of facts outside their control (Nagel 1979; Williams 1981). The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy defines moral luck as arising when an agent can be treated as an object of moral judgment even though an important aspect of what the agent is assessed for depends on factors beyond control (Nelkin 2025).

Williams's term *agent-regret* is especially useful. Agent-regret is the pain one may feel for a bad outcome one caused, even if one is not blameworthy in the ordinary sense. The point is not that the agent is guilty of malice. It is that the event is still "mine" in a morally meaningful way: I did it, I was there, my body or action formed part of the causal chain. This helps articulate a post-Edenic affect not reducible to sin, shame, or guilt: the pained recognition that one's finite agency can be involved in harm without wicked intent.

2.8. Moral dilemmas, moral remainder, and tragic choice

The opportunity-cost dimension also belongs to work on moral dilemmas and moral remainder. The literature on moral dilemmas distinguishes epistemic conflicts, in which the agent does not know which require-

ment prevails, from ontological conflicts, in which neither requirement is overridden and the agent may inevitably fail some real moral demand (McConnell 2022). Bernard Williams's concept of moral remainder names what remains after a defensible decision still carries moral cost (Williams 1965). More recent work has applied moral remainder to law, medicine, and professional practice, especially in contexts where a justified decision still harms someone or leaves a serious claim unmet (van Domselaar 2022).

Calabresi and Bobbitt's *Tragic Choices* is another important precedent. They analyze how societies allocate tragically scarce resources, especially where life, death, equality, and institutional legitimacy are at stake (Calabresi and Bobbitt 1978). Their framework is social and legal rather than Edenic, but it is structurally relevant: scarcity forces choice, and choice distributes loss. The point is not merely that humans sometimes choose badly. It is that a finite world requires decisions in which some good will remain undone.

2.9. Cluelessness and decision-making under uncertainty

Contemporary work on cluelessness sharpens the non-omniscience problem. Hilary Greaves argues that for any action, most consequences are unpredictable at the time of decision, creating a problem for consequence-guided reasoning (Greaves 2016). The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy's entry on moral decision-making under uncertainty notes that some long-term consequences of ordinary actions may have very large value, may affect many people over long spans, and may be hard even to estimate with precision (Tarsney 2024). Some forms of cluelessness arise not only from uncertainty about known possibilities but from unawareness: the agent lacks the relevant possibility on the radar at all.

This matters for Eden because Genesis 3 is not only a story of a prohibited choice. It is a story of acting before full knowledge. The human wants knowledge, but the desire for knowledge does not grant omniscience. The post-Edenic agent knows enough to be troubled and too little to be secure. This is the human predicament: moral consciousness awakens faster than moral mastery.

2.10. Omission, doing versus allowing, and religious guilt for the undone good

The added claim also connects to sins of omission and the philosophical distinction between doing and allowing harm. The doing/allowing literature asks whether there is a moral difference between actively causing harm and merely allowing harm (Woollard 2025). Religious traditions have long treated omitted goods as morally serious. In Christian liturgical language, the Confiteor includes sorrow for what one has done and for what one has failed to do; Franciscan Media's discussion of omission highlights the Last Judgment scene in Matthew 25 as centered on failures to feed, welcome, clothe, and visit the vulnerable (Franciscan Media 2025).

The present thesis differs from a simple sin-of-omission account. It does not claim that every unperformed good is blameworthy. That would crush finite creatures under infinite guilt. Instead, it argues that Eden can be read as the awakening to the fact that omission is structurally unavoidable. Finite time makes every chosen presence a simultaneous absence elsewhere. The mature moral question is not "How can I do all goods?" It is "How can I choose, lament, repair, and remain humble under the impossibility of doing all goods?"

2.11. Contact, mission, and the anthropology of unintended catastrophe

The large-scale example of a mission trip unintentionally infecting an isolated or immunologically vulnerable community is not merely imaginative. Anthropological and epidemiological research on contact-related epidemics among Indigenous populations documents severe mortality following the introduction of novel infectious diseases. Walker, Sattenspiel, and Hill compiled 117 epidemics affecting 59 Indigenous societies in Greater Amazonia between 1875 and 2008, causing more than 11,000 deaths, mostly from measles, influenza, and malaria; they report an immense range of epidemic mortality rates and an average mortality rate of 25 percent per year across epidemics (Walker, Sattenspiel, and Hill 2015). They also note that some missionary contacts have led to many deaths, although such cases were not always well documented.

This research should be used carefully. It should not flatten all missionary work into a single moral category, nor should it reproduce colonial paternalism by treating Indigenous peoples only as passive victims. Its relevance here is analytical: it demonstrates how good intention, mobility, bodily presence, and epidemiological ignorance can become a pathway of catastrophic harm. The post-Edenic tragedy is that love, service, obedience, and sacrifice do not cancel finitude. Human bodies carry worlds they do not fully know.

3. Method: Myth as Anthropological and Hermeneutic Object

This article proceeds by symbolic and comparative interpretation rather than by strict historical reconstruction. The primary object is Genesis 2-3 as a mythic narrative that has generated multiple religious, philosophical, and cultural meanings. The argument does not require that every feature of the proposed reading was consciously intended by an ancient author or redactor. It requires only that the reading be textually anchored, coherent with the narrative's symbolic sequence, and illuminating in relation to the wider field of interpretation.

Anthropologically, myth is not treated here as falsehood. It is treated as a socially durable form of thought. Myths organize fundamental contradictions, encode value, establish paradigms of action, and give narrative form to experiences that are otherwise difficult to conceptualize. Eden is especially powerful because it does not simply say that humans are mortal, laboring, ashamed, or morally responsible. It narrates a transition into those conditions. It tells of a before and after: before shame and after shame, before hiding and after hiding, before death as announced fate and after death as inevitable horizon.

The method is therefore layered. First, the article reviews similar works in biblical studies, myth theory, psychoanalysis, moral philosophy, economics, theology, and ecological ethics. Second, it performs a close symbolic reading of Genesis 2-3. Third, it synthesizes these findings into an expanded concept of consequential agency. Fourth, it names the limits of the claim, especially the risk of projecting modern economic, therapeutic, or ecological language onto an ancient text.

The result is best understood as an interpretive model. It does not replace theological, Jewish, Christian, feminist, literary, or historical-critical readings. It offers a frame that can converse with them.

4. Close Reading: The Narrative Sequence of Awakening

4.1. The pre-awakening condition: embeddedness, not perfection

The human being in Genesis 2 is placed in a garden, given food, given a task, and given a prohibition. The human is formed from the ground and placed in relation to soil, animals, plants, divine speech, and another human. This is not a scene of abstract perfection. It is a scene of embedded life. The human is already dependent, embodied, tasked, and limited.

The prohibition concerning the tree of knowledge introduces a boundary before moral knowledge has become reflexive. The human can obey or disobey, but the narrative has not yet shown the human reflecting on good and evil as categories of self-judgment. In this sense, pre-Edenic innocence is not mature virtue. It is unreflexive participation. The humans are naked and not ashamed because they have not yet become objects to themselves.

This matters because the story does not contrast evil humans with morally complete humans. It contrasts unreflexive embeddedness with reflective exposure. The moment of eating does not create embodiment, desire, relationality, labor, or dependence. Those are already present. It changes how humans know them.

4.2. The tree as the threshold of reflexive evaluation

The tree of the knowledge of good and evil is often read as moral discernment, sexual knowledge, universal knowledge, or autonomy. Those readings are not mutually exclusive. The phrase can be understood as a merism: knowledge of the full range of evaluative life. To know good and evil is to enter a world in which things are no longer merely encountered but judged.

This is why the first result of eating is not abstract moral reasoning but changed perception. Their eyes are opened. They know they are naked. The narrative moves immediately from knowledge to exposure. Knowledge is not presented as possession of a doctrine but as altered self-relation. The humans do not first formulate an ethical principle. They see themselves differently.

This altered self-relation is the root of consequential agency. The agent is now both actor and object, both doer and one who can be seen doing. Human life becomes reflexive: the self sees itself, evaluates itself, and anticipates how it appears before another. The story begins the moral life not with a legal code but with shame.

4.3. Nakedness, shame, and the birth of the observed self

The discovery of nakedness is not merely sexual embarrassment. It is the birth of the observed self. To be naked and ashamed is to experience oneself as exposed before another gaze. The fig leaves are the first technology of self-management. They are a response to moral visibility.

This matters for the opportunity-cost argument because shame is also a temporal affect. Once the self sees itself, it can imagine alternatives: what I should have done, what I could have done, what I did instead, what I failed to prevent. Shame and regret require counterfactual imagination. The awakened human now lives among unrealized possibilities.

4.4. Hiding, fear, and the burden of answerability

When God calls, "Where are you?" the question is not informational. It is existential and judicial. The human must answer for location, action, and state. Fear enters because the human now experiences divine presence as exposure. The world has become one in which the human can be called to account.

Answerability is central to the thesis. The post-Edenic human must answer not only for malicious acts but for the shape of a life. The question "Where are you?" can be heard as the first question of moral anthropology: Where have you placed yourself? What have you done? What did you fail to do while doing it? What world has your presence helped bring about?

4.5. Blame as failed responsibility

The first verbal responses after the divine interrogation are evasive. The man blames the woman and implicitly blames God; the woman blames the serpent. This does not show that moral knowledge has produced moral maturity. It shows that moral consciousness can immediately become defensive.

Blame is the shadow form of answerability. The awakened human knows enough to fear judgment but not yet enough to bear responsibility well. This is why Eden remains recognizable. The moral burden of finite agency often produces evasion: I did not know, I meant well, others caused it, the system made me do it, I had no choice. Some of these statements may be partly true. But they can also function as fig leaves over consequential agency.

4.6. Toil, childbirth, mortality, and irreversible time

The consequences announced after the tree are bodily and temporal: pain, labor, relational distortion, resistant soil, mortality. These are not abstract punishments. They are the structures through which finite life is lived. Food requires time. Children require time. Bodies suffer in time. Death ends time. The ground resists, and therefore every project becomes costly.

This is where opportunity cost enters the mythic structure. Before and after may be narratively compressed, but after Eden life is explicitly temporal. "All the days of your life" becomes the horizon of labor. A finite life is a life in which every day can be spent only once. Mortality makes opportunity cost absolute.

5. The Expanded Thesis: Consequential Agency and Opportunity-Cost Suffering

5.1. Definition of consequential agency

Consequential agency, in this article, names the post-Edenic condition of being a finite agent whose life produces effects beyond intention. In its revised form, the concept has four dimensions:

1. **Causal spillover**: actions produce consequences the agent did not intend.
2. **Opportunity-cost omission**: chosen actions exclude other possible actions, including other goods.
3. **Epistemic opacity**: the agent cannot know all relevant consequences before acting.
4. **Scale discontinuity**: small, ordinary, or benevolent acts can become connected to large harms.

This framework moves the argument beyond a simple reading of Eden as disobedience. Disobedience remains in the story. But the story's enduring anthropological power lies in how quickly the act opens

onto shame, fear, blame, labor, reproduction, death, and exile. Eden is a myth of the human being as an exposed causal creature.

5.2. Opportunity-cost suffering: the good excluded by the good chosen

The missing dimension can be called *opportunity-cost suffering*: the suffering that arises not because one chooses evil over good, but because choosing one good prevents another good from being done. This is not merely an economic fact. It is a moral and existential fact.

Consider a domestic vignette. A parent spends the evening teaching a son to make decaffeinated coffee. The action is good: it transmits skill, builds relationship, creates tenderness, and honors the child's desire to participate in adult life. Yet the same hour cannot also be used to read a daughter a book. The daughter may feel neglected. The parent may feel the ache of divided love. Nothing wicked has occurred. Still, something good has been lost. The loss is not imaginary; it is the cost of embodied, temporal care.

This example matters because it prevents the thesis from becoming abstract or merely catastrophic. The tragedy of finite agency is already present in the ordinary home. Love is not infinite in its available expression. Attention is rationed by embodiment. Time makes even good parenting omissive.

5.3. The white space of possible action

An action is carved out of the white space of possible action. That metaphor helps name what standard moral categories often miss. Moral judgment usually focuses on the chosen mark: Was this action right or wrong? Did it violate a rule? Did it produce good consequences? The white-space problem asks also about the unmarked remainder: What did the action prevent? What claim went unmet because this claim was answered? What harm was not prevented because another good was pursued?

The white space is not morally neutral. It is populated by possible goods and bads. Some are trivial; some are enormous. Because finite agents lack omniscience, they cannot fully know the contents of that white space before choosing. This is why moral awakening can feel like ego death or ego-crisis in contemporary language. The self that imagines itself as master of a clean moral project discovers that every project is carved from a field too large to see.

5.4. From omitted good to mass-suffering event

At the larger scale, opportunity-cost suffering can become catastrophic. Imagine a church group that chooses to serve on a mission trip. The choice may be sincere, sacrificial, and responsible according to the group's available knowledge. Yet an asymptomatic participant may carry an airborne virus into an immunologically vulnerable community. The result could be mass suffering. This possibility is not merely a moral fable. Contact-related epidemics among isolated Indigenous societies are an established anthropological and epidemiological concern (Walker, Sattenspiel, and Hill 2015).

The example is powerful because the tragedy does not require malicious intent. It requires only finitude: limited knowledge, limited testing, limited historical awareness, limited public-health infrastructure, limited ability to see the future, and the ordinary fact that bodies carry pathogens before the will knows what the body carries. The chosen good - mission, service, witness, relief - can become the vehicle of harm. At the same time, not going can also omit goods: medicines undelivered, relationships unformed, resources unshared, needs unmet. Moral maturity begins when both sides are visible.

5.5. Why this is not total guilt

The danger of this thesis is that it could appear to make every person guilty for everything. That is not the argument. Total guilt is morally paralyzing and conceptually incoherent. No finite agent can be blamed for not being God.

The argument is instead that finite agents live under *moral remainder*. Even when a decision is justified, something may remain to be lamented, repaired, remembered, or held with humility. A defensible action can still leave a wound. A good choice can still exclude another good. A non-culpable accident can still call forth grief and amendment. The proper response is not infinite self-condemnation but a disciplined mixture of humility, care, lament, prudence, and repair.

5.6. The Edenic form of moral maturity

In this revised frame, Eden narrates the beginning of moral maturity but not its completion. The humans awaken and immediately hide. They know but do not yet know how to live with knowing. They feel exposure but respond with concealment. They face answerability but respond with blame.

Moral maturity after Eden would require a different response: to stand in exposure without denial; to acknowledge both acts and omissions; to accept that every action is costly without collapsing into despair; to learn forms of repair; and to choose goods while remaining conscious of the goods one cannot choose. In religious language, this may be repentance. In anthropological language, it is the cultural labor of making finite agency livable.

6. Anthropological Synthesis: Eden as Rite of Passage into Finitary Agency

Victor Turner's analysis of liminality provides a useful anthropological model. Rites of passage often include separation, liminal ambiguity, and incorporation into a new status. Eden can be read according to this pattern. The humans separate from unreflexive garden life; they enter a liminal state of nakedness, fear, interrogation, and judgment; then they are incorporated into the world of labor, reproduction, mortality, and culture (Turner 1969).

The liminal stage is marked by ambiguity. The humans are both newly divine-like and newly vulnerable. They have become like God in knowing good and evil, yet they are expelled lest they also take life. They are elevated and diminished at once. This dual movement is characteristic of initiation. One gains status by losing innocence.

In anthropological terms, Eden is a myth of adulthood. Childhood here does not mean literal childhood alone. It means a state of unreflexive belonging. Adulthood means the capacity and burden of answerability. The adult knows that action matters, that the future must be faced, that relationships fracture, that bodies are exposed, that time cannot be spent twice, and that death cannot be avoided.

This reading also explains why the story is not exhausted by punishment. A punishment can end. A rite of passage changes status. After Eden, the human condition itself is different. The humans become culture-bearing beings: clothed, laboring, reproducing, remembering, allocating, omitting, and living east of Eden.

7. Comparative Horizons: Non-Harm, Suffering, and No-Self

Although this article focuses on Genesis, comparative religious traditions help clarify the existential shape of the thesis. Jain ethics gives radical prominence to non-harm, or *ahimsa*, extending moral concern to forms of life easily ignored in ordinary action (Gorisse 2024). Buddhist analyses of mind, not-self, and conditioned experience similarly frame ordinary existence through impermanence, dependent processes, and the absence of a substantial enduring self (Coseru 2017). These parallels should not be treated as sources for Genesis, but they show that religious traditions often locate awakening in the painful recognition that ordinary life is ethically and existentially entangled.

The comparison sharpens the Eden thesis. In Eden, awakening begins with knowledge and shame. In Buddhist and Jain frames, awakening often involves seeing through ego, desire, violence, and ignorance. The difference is important: Genesis narrates the birth of moral self-consciousness, while these traditions often aim at liberation from deluded selfhood or harm-producing attachment. Yet the shared pattern is that awakening is not merely the acquisition of information. It is a transformation in how the self understands life, suffering, and responsibility.

For this reason, the phrase ”ego death” may function as a contemporary experiential analogy even if it is not the best technical term for Genesis 3. The Eden story is better described as ego-birth, ego-crisis, or reflexive self-emergence. But such emergence may be the beginning of a path whose later stages require the self to be de-centered, humbled, or transformed.

8. Source-Positioning Matrix

Table 1: How the proposed thesis relates to similar works.

Work or field	Overlap with the thesis	Difference or gap
Genesis 2-3	Provides the primary symbolic sequence: prohibition, eating, opened eyes, nakedness, shame, hiding, blame, toil, pain, mortality, and expulsion.	The text does not explicitly theorize opportunity cost, omitted goods, or systemic entanglement; that is a later interpretive synthesis.
Kawashima 2022	Reads Eden as an etiology for the human condition and resists reducing the story to original sin.	Emphasizes knowledge, adulthood, and independence more than moral distress over unintended harm and omitted goods.
Bechtel 1995	Treats Genesis 2:4b-3:24 as a myth of human maturation.	Does not make consequential agency, ordinary complicity, or opportunity-cost suffering the central analytic concept.

Work or field	Overlap with the thesis	Difference or gap
Osman 2000; Drob 2024	Read Eden through psychological development, separation, autonomy, transgression, and transformation.	Strong on ego formation and development; less focused on ecological-social entanglement, omission, and unintended harm.
Kant 2007 [1786]	Reads Genesis as emergence from instinct into reason, choice, freedom, future expectation, and worry.	Philosophical account of reason rather than anthropological account of harm generated by ordinary finite agency.
Ricoeur 1967; Gaiser 1999	Adamic myth symbolizes universal human fault and responsibility.	Focus remains fault and evil; the present thesis emphasizes burdened consciousness, omission, and unintended consequence.
Goldberg 2012	Closest moral-philosophical analogue to the first thesis: loss of moral innocence, moral disillusion, unchosen evil, and shattering moral purity.	Uses Adam and Eve within moral philosophy rather than developing Eden as mythic anthropology of consequential existence.
Brown 2026	Closest explicit opportunity-cost analogue: Genesis 3 as a world of finite resources, finite knowledge, finite time, irreversible choice, and shadow alternatives.	Public economic-theological essay, not anthropology/myth/religion scholarship; less focused on shame, omitted care, agent-regret, and moral suffering.
Moral luck and agent-regret	Clarifies how agents can suffer morally from outcomes outside control.	Does not usually read Eden as a mythic origin of this affective condition.
Moral dilemmas and tragic choices	Explains unavoidable conflict, scarce resources, moral residue, and justified decisions that still leave cost.	Usually social, legal, or philosophical rather than Edenic and myth-anthropological.
Cluelessness literature	Shows that agents cannot predict most consequences of actions and may be unaware of crucial possibilities.	Usually framed around consequentialism and decision theory, not mythic awakening.
Sins of omission and doing/allowing	Treats failures to act and unperformed goods as morally significant.	Can become blame-focused; the present thesis distinguishes structural finitude from culpable neglect.

Work or field	Overlap with the thesis	Difference or gap
Contact-epidemic anthropology	Demonstrates how benevolent or ordinary contact can produce catastrophic unintended disease effects.	Empirical analogue, not source for Genesis; must be used carefully and without flattening Indigenous agency.

9. Implications for Anthropology, Myth, and Religion Research

The proposed reading has several implications for research in anthropology, myth, and religion.

First, it frames Eden as a myth of moral subject formation. The human subject is not born fully moral; it becomes moral through exposure, shame, interrogation, consequence, and the discovery of unrealized alternatives. This is an anthropological claim about how narratives imagine the birth of accountable personhood.

Second, it highlights the ambiguity of knowledge. Knowledge does not simply liberate. It also wounds, burdens, and divides. The Eden narrative is therefore useful for studying cultures in which knowledge is both sacred and dangerous.

Third, it shifts attention from guilt alone to finitary agency. Religious studies often asks how traditions define sin, purity, disobedience, and transgression. This thesis asks how traditions narrate the anguish of being a finite creature who must choose without doing all possible goods.

Fourth, it gives anthropology of religion a vocabulary for omitted goods. The question is not only how humans explain evil acts. It is how they live with the goods they could not do because they were doing something else. Ritual confession, lament, sacrifice, pilgrimage, almsgiving, mourning, and reparative practices may all be read as cultural techniques for bearing the moral remainder of finite life.

Fifth, it offers a bridge between ancient myth and modern ethical experience without collapsing the two. Contemporary ecological, technological, epidemiological, and institutional life makes consequential agency visible on planetary scales. Yet the underlying pattern - that humans awaken into a world where their actions exceed their intentions and their choices exclude other goods - is not uniquely modern. Eden gives that pattern a symbolic beginning.

Sixth, the reading may help reframe debates about sin. Instead of choosing between literal disobedience and secular maturation, it suggests a third route: sin can be understood as the religious name for a condition in which agency, selfhood, finitude, omission, and harm are inseparable. This does not deny disobedience. It situates disobedience inside a broader anthropology of finite, vulnerable, consequential life.

10. Limits and Cautions

The thesis has limits. It should not be presented as the original meaning of Genesis 2-3 in a narrow historical-critical sense. The text emerges from ancient Israelite literary, theological, and cultural contexts, and those contexts must not be overwritten by modern economic, therapeutic, epidemiological, or ecological language.

The thesis also needs gender caution. Eden has often been used to blame Eve or women for sin, sexual-

ity, death, or disorder. A responsible interpretation should resist reproducing that burden. In this reading, Eve is not the source of human corruption but the narrative figure through whom the transition into knowledge is dramatized. If the story is an anthropology of awakening, then both humans enter the condition together, and both participate in the ensuing dynamics of shame, blame, and exile.

The thesis should also avoid romanticizing innocence. Pre-reflexive embeddedness is not moral perfection. It lacks the burden of responsibility because it lacks the full conditions of responsibility. A return to Eden would therefore not be a mature ethical goal. The task after Eden is not to unknow, but to become responsible without denying the pain of knowledge.

The mission/contact example requires special caution. It must not be used to make Indigenous communities into symbols for someone else's moral drama. Nor should it be used to condemn all religious mission as equivalent. Its role here is narrower: it demonstrates that benevolent intention, human mobility, and limited knowledge can produce severe unintended harm. In a publication version, this example should be developed with more consultation of Indigenous scholarship, mission history, and medical anthropology.

Finally, the thesis must distinguish responsibility from totalizing guilt. Consequential agency does not mean that each person is guilty for all suffering. Opportunity-cost suffering does not mean that every unperformed good is a sin. It means that human life is ethically entangled and that mature moral consciousness must face indirect consequence, complicity, omission, and unchosen harm. The point is not despair but sober responsibility.

11. Conclusion

Genesis 2-3 can be read as a myth of awakening into morally burdened self-consciousness. Its sequence is strikingly compressed: the humans eat, see, cover, hide, fear, explain, blame, labor, suffer, and die. The story thereby narrates not only the beginning of sin or mortality but the beginning of reflexive human agency.

The revised thesis adds a crucial dimension. The post-Edenic human does not merely discover that bad actions have consequences. The human discovers that all action has a cost. Every choice carves a determinate act out of the white space of possibility. The act chosen becomes real; the acts not chosen vanish as missed goods, unprevented harms, and unrecoverable moments. This is not always guilt. Often it is tragedy, lament, agent-regret, or moral remainder.

The surveyed literature shows that major elements of this interpretation are already present in scholarship. Eden has been read as etiology, maturation myth, psychoanalytic separation, birth of reason, symbolics of fault, and moral disillusion. Moral philosophy has developed concepts of moral luck, moral remainder, tragic choices, and cluelessness. Christian theology has long recognized sins of omission. Economics has named opportunity cost, and a recent public essay explicitly connects original sin to temporal opportunity cost. Yet the full synthesis proposed here - Eden as mythic awakening into consequential and opportunity-cost agency, including the painful recognition that finite human life produces effects, omissions, and harms beyond intention - remains underdeveloped as a named interpretive frame.

The contribution of this article is therefore integrative. It names the post-Edenic condition as consequential agency in a fuller sense: the human condition of being self-aware, exposed, future-oriented, temporally limited, relationally entangled, unable to do all goods, and morally answerable for effects that exceed control. Eden is the mythic moment when innocence becomes impossible, not because knowledge is evil, but because knowledge reveals the cost of being human.

References

Balogh, Amy L. 2022. "Myth, Meaning, and the Work of Life: Enuma Elish and the Garden of Eden (Genesis 2:4-3:24) on the Value of Human Labor and Memory." *Religions* 13 (8): 703. https://doi.org/10.3390/rel13080703.

Bechtel, Lyn M. 1995. "Genesis 2.4b-3.24: A Myth about Human Maturation." *Journal for the Study of the Old Testament* 20 (67): 3-26. https://doi.org/10.1177/030908929502006701.

Brennan, Andrew, and Yeuk-Sze Lo. 2025. "Environmental Ethics." In *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. Stanford University. Accessed June 17, 2026.

Brown, Tarnell. 2026. "Original Sin and Opportunity Cost." *Meanderings of an Eccentric Economist*. Published June 11, 2026. Accessed June 17, 2026.

Calabresi, Guido, and Philip Bobbitt. 1978. *Tragic Choices*. New York: W. W. Norton.

Coseru, Christian. 2017. "Mind in Indian Buddhist Philosophy." In *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. Stanford University.

Drob, Sanford. 2024. "The Eden Complex: Transgression and Transformation in the Bible, Freud and Jung." *Religions* 15 (9): 1088. https://doi.org/10.3390/rel15091088.

Eliade, Mircea. 1959. *The Sacred and the Profane: The Nature of Religion*. Translated by Willard R. Trask. New York: Harcourt.

Franciscan Media. 2025. "What About Sins of Omission?" Published June 24, 2025. Accessed June 17, 2026.

Fromm, Erich. 1941. *Escape from Freedom*. New York: Farrar and Rinehart.

Gaiser, Frederick J. 1999. "Paul Ricoeur's Myths of Evil in Biblical Perspective." *Word and World* 19 (4): 390-398.

Geertz, Clifford. 1973. *The Interpretation of Cultures*. New York: Basic Books.

Goldberg, Zachary J. 2012. *Moral Disillusion: Shattering Moral Illusions for the Sake of Taking Responsibility*. PhD diss., Arizona State University.

Gorisse, Marie-Helene. 2024. "Jaina Philosophy." In *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. Stanford University. Accessed June 17, 2026.

Greaves, Hilary. 2016. "Cluelessness." *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society* 116 (3): 311-339. https://doi.org/10.1093/arisoc/aow018.

Kant, Immanuel. 2007 [1786]. "Conjectural Beginning of Human History." In *Anthropology, History, and Education*, edited and translated by Robert B. Louden and Gunter Zoller, 160-175. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Kawashima, Robert S. 2022. "Adam and Eve in the Garden of Eden: An Etiology for the Human Condition." *TheTorah.com*. Published October 21, 2022; updated June 3, 2026. Accessed June 17, 2026.

Labarthe, Ines Zevallos, and Elizabeth Marks. 2024. "'When they're saying what is completely opposite to what you morally believe': (In)action on climate change by State authorities and powerful bodies drives moral injury and eco-distress in UK young people." *The Cognitive Behaviour Therapist* 17: e32. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1754470X23000284.

Lenman, James. 2000. "Consequentialism and Cluelessness." *Philosophy and Public Affairs* 29 (4): 342-370.

Levi-Strauss, Claude. 1955. "The Structural Study of Myth." *Journal of American Folklore* 68 (270): 428-444.

McConnell, Terrance. 2022. "Moral Dilemmas." In *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. Stanford University. Accessed June 17, 2026.

Miller, Adam S., ed. 2017. *Fleeing the Garden: Reading Genesis 2-3*. Provo, UT: Neal A. Maxwell Institute for Religious Scholarship.

Nagel, Thomas. 1979. "Moral Luck." In *Mortal Questions*, 24-38. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Nelkin, Dana Kay. 2025. "Moral Luck." In *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. Stanford University. Accessed June 17, 2026.

Osman, Marvin P. 2000. "The Adam and Eve Story as Exemplar of an Early-Life Variant of the Oedipus Complex." *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 48 (4): 1295-1325. https://doi.org/10.1177/000306510000480041901.

Ricoeur, Paul. 1967. *The Symbolism of Evil.* Translated by Emerson Buchanan. Boston: Beacon Press.

Tarsney, Christian. 2024. "Moral Decision-Making Under Uncertainty." In *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy.* Stanford University. Accessed June 17, 2026.

The Bible. 2021. *New Revised Standard Version Updated Edition.* National Council of Churches. Biblical references are to Genesis 2:4-3:24.

Turner, Victor. 1969. *The Ritual Process: Structure and Anti-Structure.* Chicago: Aldine.

van Domselaar, Iris. 2022. "Law's Regret: On Moral Remainders, (In)commensurability and a Virtue-Ethical Approach to Legal Decision-Making." *Jurisprudence* 13 (3): 371-389.

Walker, Robert S., Lisa Sattenspiel, and Kim R. Hill. 2015. "Mortality from Contact-Related Epidemics among Indigenous Populations in Greater Amazonia." *Scientific Reports* 5: 14032. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep14032.

Williams, Bernard. 1965. "Ethical Consistency." *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society*, Supplementary Volumes 39: 103-124.

Williams, Bernard. 1981. *Moral Luck: Philosophical Papers 1973-1980.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Woollard, Fiona. 2025. "Doing vs. Allowing Harm." In *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy.* Stanford University. Accessed June 17, 2026.

Zylinska, Joanna. 2014. *Minimal Ethics for the Anthropocene.* London: Open Humanities Press.